Datums.com
Derivatives Ai Translations of Uploaded Multimedia Sources

☕ EXTERNAL BLEEDING 🦡 Thursday, January 15, 2026 🦡 C&C NEWS 🏉 by Jeff Childers

The Democratic Identity Crisis: A Brand in Decay

Welcome to our deep dive into the current political landscape. As we look at the state of the Democratic Party, it is becoming increasingly clear that the "Democrat brand" is suffering from a fundamental disconnect with the average voter. Recent focus groups conducted by the party's own moderate officials have provided some incredibly "tough feedback". The core issue? Voters view the party as "judgy". When you have representatives like Sarah McBride admitting that calling every person a "bigot" leads voters to believe the party simply doesn't like them, you have a massive branding problem.

This isn't just about tone; it's about a total lack of focus. Senator Elissa Slotkin has pointed out that the party is "for everything," which is really just a mask for a rigid "purity test" that requires total agreement on every single ideological point. Because they are forced to agree on everything—from bail policies to men in women's bathrooms—they can't boil their message down to the two or three things that actually impact people's lives. Even their focus-group-tested buzzwords like "affordability" are failing because, as Representative Pat Ryan noted, no one actually uses that word around a kitchen table. They can name a problem, but they have zero solutions they can actually say out loud, because if they did, 70% of the country would instantly hate the plan. Until they can answer the simplest questions of biological reality, they are trapped in a cycle of weakness and angst.

The Economic Boom: Trump's Policies vs. The "Experts"

Now, let's turn our attention to the economy, which is absolutely booming despite what the so-called "experts" want you to believe. We are seeing "unexpected" good news that has become impossible for even the legacy media to ignore. Investment is soaring, fueled by the construction of massive data centers and a stock market driven by AI. Furthermore, new tax deductions signed into law last year are encouraging a flood of new investment. Most impressively, the trade deficit has shrunk to its lowest level since 2009, a massive win for the American worker that has directly buoyed the GDP.

Yet, if you read the New York Times, you'll find "experts" like Mark Zandi and Gita Gopinath desperately trying to claim this is all just a "coincidence". They want to argue that the booming economy has "nothing to do with trade" or Trump's policies, even as the President is constantly and visibly encouraging investment. They try to frame the AI boom as something that merely "offset the drag" of tariffs, rather than acknowledging that pro-growth policies are the very thing creating this environment. These experts are cherry-picked to create a false sense of consensus, but the facts on the ground—the shrinking deficit and the soaring stocks—tell the real story of "Mister Tariff's" success.

The Propaganda Formula: How to Read the News in 2026

This leads us to a crucial lesson in media literacy: how to spot propaganda in real-time. When you see an article that reports a "scrap of actual news"—like the booming economy—and then immediately rounds up a lopsided group of "experts" to tell you exactly how to feel about it, you are looking at a propaganda formula. Corporate media hides behind these curated voices to push a specific bias while pretending to report "consensus".

The beauty of the current era is that this style of reporting is exactly what is killing legacy media. People are fleeing the New York Times and heading to the "town square" of social media, specifically X (Twitter), where they can actually find a diversity of voices. On social media, the bias is out in the open, which is far more honest than the "curated perspective" of the big publishers. We are reaching a point where the State Department makes major

announcements directly on X, making legacy journalists nothing more than "republishers" of government social media posts.

Geopolitical Masterstrokes: Blowing Up China’s Ambitions

In the realm of foreign policy, we are witnessing the incredible success of the administration's "multipolarity" strategy. The recent ouster of Nicolás Maduro in Venezuela wasn't just about domestic politics; it was a geostrategic move that "blew up" China’s decade-long project to secure a foothold in the Western Hemisphere. China is now being forced into a "high-stakes recalculation," realizing that the Western Hemisphere belongs to the Americans once again.

There is a clear trade-off happening: if China wants to claim influence in its own backyard, it must get out of ours. Interestingly, Russia seemed to see the writing on the wall early, quietly evacuating diplomats' families in late December without even telling their "allies" in Beijing. This shift back to regional spheres of influence is a return to a more stable, realistic form of global diplomacy where American interests are prioritized in our own "backyard".

Border Security and the Vetting Revolution

Domestically, the State Department is finally taking common-sense steps to protect American citizens. We have seen a record-breaking 100,000+ visas revoked since the return to office—a 150% increase over the previous year. The launch of the "Continuous Vetting Center" ensures that those who hold visas are constantly monitored for compliance with U.S. laws.

Most importantly, embassies are now required to look at an applicant’s social media history. If you express anti-American views or show yourself to be a "malcontent," you don't get a visa. While some may call this outrageous, it is simple common sense to ordinary Americans: if you hate us, you don't get to come here. This is how you keep the rabble-rousers out and ensure national security.

The Unraveling of the Proxy War in Ukraine

The situation in Ukraine is currently a "black box" of unraveling momentum. We are seeing headlines that the Ukrainian military is "shrinking faster than a puddle" due to desertion and low morale. For the first time, Ukrainian officials like Defense Chief Fedorov are admitting the scale of the problem, even suggesting the desperate measure of replacing their shrinking human army with "robots".

Meanwhile, President Trump has been clear about where the blame lies for the lack of a peace deal: Zelensky. Despite having no power in his capital and running out of soldiers, Zelensky continues to publicly rule out territorial concessions. This begs the question: what cards does he actually have left to play? The narrative of a "forever war" is collapsing under the weight of these human and strategic deficits.

Vindicating Law Enforcement: The Truth About ICE

We must also look at the reality of law enforcement on our streets. Recently, an ICE agent, Jonathan Ross, was forced to use force against an activist in an SUV. While progressives were quick to cry "excessive force," the facts have come to light: Agent Ross suffered "internal bleeding" and had to be hospitalized after being struck by the vehicle.

For most "sane people," the fact that an officer was injured by a suspect's vehicle makes the use of force clearly justified. While some ideologues on platforms like BlueSky remain in denial, suggesting the government is "making up" hospital records, the physical evidence is undeniable. It is heartening to see outlets like CBS provide "very fair" reporting on this, even as other legacy media outlets try to ignore the injuries to the officer.

A Massive Win for Election Integrity

Finally, we have some "terrific" news regarding the integrity of our elections. The Supreme Court has issued a landmark ruling that completely changes the game for candidates challenging election rules. In the past, many challenges were thrown out for a "lack of standing," but SCOTUS has now ruled that any candidate automatically has a "personal stake" in the rules governing how votes are counted.

Even better, candidates no longer have to wait until *after* an election to prove fraud; they can now file lawsuits well in advance if they can show that problematic rules—like those involving mail-in ballots—pose a "reasonable threat" to their chances. This clears the way for a "host of lawsuits" to bloom across the country, ensuring that the rules are fair before a single vote is even cast. This is a massive improvement that will help secure our elections for the upcoming midterms and beyond.

Thank you for listening to another session of the NoteBookLM.news series. You can find the full archive of all my podcasts at NotebookLM.news.